



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,390	09/28/2001	Larry D. Woodring	BS01-379	2347
38515	7590	01/09/2006	EXAMINER	
BAMBI FAIVRE WALTERS PO BOX 5743 WILLIAMSBURG, VA 23188			NGUYEN, QUYNH H	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2642		
DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/964,390	WOODRING, LARRY D.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quynh H. Nguyen	2642

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 February 2004.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-62 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's amendment filed on 2/4/04 has been entered. Claims 1,18, 31, and 46 have been amended. No claims have been cancelled. Claims 59-62 have been added. Claims 1-62 are still pending in this application, with claims 1, 18, 31, and 46 being independent.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 19-23, 25, and 27-30 recite "The system of claim 17" should be - The system of claim 18 --.

Claims 32-37, 39, and 41-45 recite "The method of claim 30" should be --The method of claim 31 --.

Claims 47-51, 53, and 55-58 recite "The system of claim 45" should be - The system of claim 46 --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-4, 11-22, 27-33, 36, 41-50, and 55-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gurbani et al. (U.S. Patent 6,282,275).

Regarding claims 1, 18 and 31, Gurbani teaches a method and system for providing enhanced caller information to a subscriber using an advanced intelligent network comprising: receiving on a server (Fig. 1, 124) a plurality of user profile information from a user, said user profile information comprising at least a caller directory number and at least one enhanced caller information that is pre-entered by the user (Fig. 2A and 2B and col. 3, lines 56-66 - where Gurbani discussed caller name, caller No., and time, hence caller information pre-entered by the user); provisioning a trigger on the subscriber's telephone line at a service switching point (col. 2, lines 45-57); receiving a call from a caller (Fig. 1, 102) to the subscriber (Fig. 1, 104) at the service switching point; sending a query to a service control point (SCP 122) in response to the trigger (col. 2, lines 45-57); sending a message from the service control point to the server in response to the query (col. 2, lines 49-53); SCP 122 sends calling party number to server 124 (col. 2, lines 49-53); retrieving at the server calling party identification service profile and determining if the called party is a subscriber the providing the caller information to the subscriber (col. 2, lines 53-58).

Gurbani does not specifically teach the extra step of matching the calling party number to the caller directory number.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that prior to the retrieval of calling party information and providing that information to the subscriber, it is necessary to match the calling party number to the caller directory number in the user profile information at the server in order to retrieve the correct caller information and provide the subscriber with accurate caller information.

Regarding claims 2-4, 19-22, 32-33, 36, and 47-50, Gurbani teaches the messages further comprises a calling name, a calling date, a calling time, and a call stop time (col. 2, lines 57-67 and col. 4, lines 37-42).

Regarding claim 11-14, 27-29, 41-43, and 55-57, Gurbani teaches the server is a web-server Internet (col. 3, lines 12-15), file transfer protocol server (col. 3, lines 16-30), and email server (col. 5, lines 21-20).

Regarding claims 15, 17, 44, and 59-62 Gurbani teaches receiving a username and a password on the server before providing the enhanced caller information to the subscriber and according to access rights defined by the access rights list (col. 2, lines 53-67 and col. 4, line 58 through col. 5, line 19).

Regarding claims 16, 30, 45, and 58, Gurbani teaches the server is accessible by the subscriber via a wireless (col. 2, lines 35-41).

Claim 46 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 18. Furthermore, Gurbani teaches customer premise equipment includes cellular phones with data display (col. 2, lines 36-41), therefore, it would have been obvious that there exists a mobile switching center in order to provision with the subscriber's cellular phone.

5. Claims 7, 9, 23, 25, 37, 39, 51, and 53 and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gurbani et al. (U.S. Patent 6,282,275) in view of Tannenbaum et al. (U.S. Patent 5,901,209).

Regarding claims 7, 9, 23, 25, 37, 39, 51, and 53, Gurbani do not teach the user profile information comprises a caller address and a caller location.

Tannenbaum et al. teach the user profile information comprises a caller address and a caller location (col. 10, lines 27-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature of the user profile information comprises a caller address and a caller location, as taught by Tannenbaum, in Gurbani's system thus making the system more efficient by providing the subscriber an enhanced list of caller information with helpful information such as caller address, call location, etc.

6. Claims 5, 6, 8, 10, 24, 26, 34-35, 38, 40, 52, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gurbani et al. (U.S. Patent 6,282,275) in view of Bushnell (US 2002/0067816 A1).

Regarding claims 5, 6, 8, 10, 24, 26, 34-35, 38, 40, 52 and 54, Gurbnani does not teach the user profile information comprises a multimedia document, a graphical file, and a map showing the caller location.

Bushnell teaches the caller profile include multimedia content, graphical file and a map ("images"), text information (Abstract and page 1, [0009]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the features of the user profile information comprises a multimedia document, a graphical file, and a map showing the caller location, as taught by Bushnell, in Gurbani's system thus making the system more efficient by

providing the subscriber an enhanced list of caller information with helpful information such as a map show the caller's address, etc.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-62 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's arguments are addressed in the above claims rejections.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2642

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quynh H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:15 A.M. to 4:45 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

qhn

Quynh H. Nguyen
June 23, 2005



AHMAD F. MATAR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700